

Review

Current and Future Applications of Machine Perfusion and Other Dynamic Preservation Strategies in Liver Transplantation

Yue Qiu ^{1, †}, Yinqian Kang ^{2, †}, Hao Liu ^{3, †}, Ibtesam Hilmi ^{1, †,*}

- 1. Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; E-Mails: [qiuy@upmc.edu;](mailto:qiuy@upmc.edu) hilmiia@upmc.edu
- 2. Department of Anesthesiology, University of Iowa Hospital and Clinics, Iowa City, IA, USA; E-Mail: yinqian-kang@uiowa.edu
- 3. Department of Surgery and J.C. Walter Jr. Transplant Center, Houston Methodist Hospital, TX, USA; E-Mail: hliu3@houstonmethodist.org
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.
- * **Correspondence:** Ibtesam Hilmi; E-Mail: hilmiia@upmc.edu

Academic Editor: Yasuhiko Sugawara

Special Issue: The Current Role and Future [Applications](mailto:https://www.lidsen.com/journals/transplantation/transplantation-special-issues/Current-Role-Future-Applications-Machine-Perfusion-Liver-Transplantation) of Machine Perfusion in Liver **[Transplantation](mailto:https://www.lidsen.com/journals/transplantation/transplantation-special-issues/Current-Role-Future-Applications-Machine-Perfusion-Liver-Transplantation)**

Abstract

Machine perfusion (MP) techniques, which simulate physiological conditions to allow for the assessment and preservation of organ viability, are currently applied in various solid organ transplantation fields. Owing to the growing demand for liver transplants and the scarcity of available donor livers, MP offers a practical solution for recovering high-risk grafts and increasing the number of potentially usable donor organs. Furthermore, testing and administering novel therapies to allografts may also become advantageous. Therefore, it has become essential to examine the role of MP in liver transplantation (LT), identify the challenges in its application, and determine future research directions in this field. This review summarizes the findings from clinical trials on hypothermic MP, normothermic MP (NMP),

© 2024 by the author. This is an open access article distributed under the conditions of the [Creative Commons by Attribution License,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, provided the original work is correctly cited.

explores novel dynamic preservation approaches, such as normothermic regional perfusion, ischemia-free transplantation, combinations of MP techniques, and long-term NMP, addresses the obstacles to standardizing MP protocols, and highlights the critical role of clinical trials in validating various aspects of the perfusion process.

Keywords

Machine perfusion; liver transplantation; hypothermic machine perfusion; normothermic machine perfusion; normothermic regional perfusion

1. Introduction

Liver transplantation is one of the most successful forms of solid organ transplantation and continues to be the only curative treatment for end-stage liver disease. Developed as a standard medical practice in the mid-1980s, liver transplantation has been remarkably effective for the treatment of end-stage liver disease, bestowing a quality of life that nearly mirrors normalcy upon individuals who would otherwise face imminent mortality [1]. Consequently, the need for liver transplantation has increased globally.

Approaches to minimize the disparity between the supply and demand for liver grafts have included the utilization of what was once considered non-utilizable as "marginal" or "extended criteria" liver grafts, along with livers obtained from donation after cardiac death (DCD) [2]. However, these grafts are underutilized because they are associated with increased risks of posttransplant primary graft failure. Moreover, these grafts are more susceptible to ischemia– reperfusion injuries and are associated with an increased risk of biliary system complications [3].

Static cold storage (SCS) has been the gold standard for graft preservation [4]. However, the technique for the procurement of organs from DCD donors typically involves discontinuing all lifesupport measures. The donors experience hemodynamic instability and hypoxia during this period until circulatory arrest is confirmed. Following this event, a mandatory 5-minute period of waiting is required prior to organ procurement, which further compromises the quality of the graft [5]. The interval from the withdrawal of life-sustaining therapy to the introduction of a cold preservation solution is called warm ischemia [6]. Although SCS reduces metabolic activity, anaerobic processes still persist, leading to the depletion of adenosine triphosphate and the build-up of reactive oxygen species [6]. SCS offers significant benefits, such as ease of use and affordability, and is suitable for the procurement of low-risk organs. However, SCS has four main limitations: it does not reverse ongoing organ damage, it may cause additional harm to the organ during storage, organ viability cannot be evaluated during storage, and the storage duration is restricted [6]. These issues become more critical in cases of livers obtained from high-risk donors, which comprise an increasing proportion of liver donors. Severe ischemia–reperfusion-related injuries pose significant challenges in fulfilling the need for critical transplantation [7]. Machine perfusion (MP) is being adopted with greater frequency to address the constraints of SCS. This technique expands the pool of usable higher-risk donor organs and can reduce the cold ischemia time, which is particularly beneficial for obtaining marginal organs that are more prone to damage caused by ischemia and reperfusion.

Two primary MP methods are currently in use: hypothermic MP (HMP), which slows cellular metabolism using cold temperatures while flushing out metabolites and toxins; and normothermic MP (NMP), which maintains cellular functions at normal body temperatures. NMP allows the assessment of organ viability under near-physiological conditions. Innovative variations of MP, such as normothermic regional perfusion (NRP), ischemia-free liver transplant, sub-normothermic MP, and long-term NMP, have also been introduced recently.

This review article examined MP as an innovative advancement in the field of liver transplantation, reviewing the possible solutions to the limited availability of organs and improving the viability of donated livers.

2. Hypothermic Machine Perfusion (HMP)

HMP refers to various mechanical perfusion techniques that are based on the use of cold perfusion. Hypothermic oxygenated perfusion (HOPE) involves delivering the perfusate through the portal vein; while dual HOPE (D-HOPE) delivers perfusate through both the portal vein and the hepatic artery [8].

The first prospective trial on ex-situ HMP was published in 2010, which reported a significant reduction in patients' post-operative liver transaminase and serum bilirubin levels [9]. Another milestone in HOPE was achieved after a study showed that liver grafts obtained from DCD have comparable postoperative outcomes to matched liver grafts from brain death (DBD) [10]. A further study including a larger cohort of the same patient groups substantiated these findings [11].

HOPE and SCS have been compared in randomized controlled trials (RCTs). The trials demonstrated that the use of HOPE-treated DCD allografts reduced non-anastomotic biliary strictures, the incidence of post-reperfusion syndrome, early allograft injury, graft failure [12, 13], and liver-related complications [12]. Additionally, HOPE was found to improve long-term graft survival and reduce late-onset morbidity [14].

These previous studies demonstrated that HOPE enhances graft survival and lowers the rate of complications (Table 1). The protective effects of this method may facilitate increased use of marginal organs, thereby addressing the growing need for donor organs.

OBM Transplantation 2024; 8(3), doi:10.21926/obm.transplant.2403224

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; DBD, donation after brain death; DCD, donation after circulatory death; CCI, comprehensive complication index; CD, Clavien–Dindo; CI, confidence interval; CS, cold storage; EAD, early allograft dysfunction; EASE, early allograft failure simplified estimation; ECD, extended criteria; HA, hepatic artery; HOPE, hypothermic oxygenated perfusion; HMP, hypothermic machine perfusion; ICU, intensive care unit; LT: liver transplantation; MEAF, model for early allograft function; PV, portal vein; SCS, static cold storage; RCT, randomized controlled trial; UW: University of Wisconsin.

3. Normothermic Machine Perfusion (NMP)

NMP restores cell metabolism and maintains the physiological state of the liver by keeping the graft at a normal temperature and supplying sufficient oxygen and nutrients throughout the entire liver preservation process [19]. The main components of NMP devices are a blood reservoir, heat exchanger, oxygenator, and one or two pumps for the portal venous and hepatic artery [19, 20].

The creation of a perfusion chamber in 1935 for the preservation of organs at room temperature marked the beginning of NMP's history [21]. Ravikumar et al. investigated liver transplantation utilizing NMP-preserved livers in a phase I trial in 2016, showing that this approach is safe and feasible [22]. Subsequently, a phase III multicenter RCT wherein NMP and SCS were compared indicated that NMP is associated with a lower incidence of graft injury, organ loss, and longer mean preservation time [23]. In 2019, Ceresa et al. conducted a study of 31 liver transplants to assess NMP after cold storage. The results indicated a 94% graft survival and 13% incidence of early allograft dysfunction within 30 days. The graft and patient survival rates at 12 months were 84% and 90%, respectively [24]. In a study by Ghinolfi et al., 20 liver transplant recipients who received livers from donors aged 70 years or older were divided into NMP and cold storage (CS) groups for evaluation. At 6 months, both groups showed similar survival rates and biopsy results. No major histological advantages of NMP were noted [25]. Bral et al. compared NMP with SCS for liver transplantation and found no difference in short- or mid-term graft survival; however, the NMP group had a longer hospital stay than the SCS group [26].

The primary justification for NMP is its ability to measure objective graft function parameters and identify marginal organs that would otherwise be eliminated as transplantable. NMP is used to assess the graft survival rate by assessing liver and bile duct function parameters, liver injury parameters, and hemodynamic analysis [27]. The survival rate test offers quantifiable predict of liver function following liver transplantation [28].

The viability of high-risk donor livers that underwent NMP prior to transplantation was investigated by Mergental et al. The results showed that despite initial preservation by static refrigeration, the transplanted livers functioned well immediately post-transplant, with normal liver function test results recorded over an average follow-up period of 7 months. This pilot study demonstrated that after viability assessment using NMP, livers previously deemed unsuitable for transplantation could be successfully transplanted to low-risk recipients without compromising safety [29]. Mergental et al. further conducted a single-center phase II trial evaluating high-risk livers previously deemed "non-transplantable" using NMP and found the 1-year patient and graft survival rates to be 100% and 86%, respectively; the 5-year patient and graft survival rates were 82% and 72%, respectively, based on long-term follow-up of the NMP group [30]. Furthermore, Quintini et al. found that of 21 livers that were declined for transplant, 15 (71.5%) were deemed transplantable after assessment using NMP. There were no instances of primary non-function post-transplant; however, seven livers showed early dysfunction that recovered quickly [31]. Although there are no definitive criteria for pre-transplant assessment of liver function using NMP, the metrics commonly used included perfusate lactate clearance, pH stability without the need for bicarbonate supplements, stable hemodynamics in the hepatic artery and portal vein; liver transaminase levels, and serum glucose levels [32]. By employing these techniques for pre-transplant viability assessment, the hazards of using marginal donor livers can be minimized, the probability of organ rejection and primary non-function after transplant can be decreased, and more organs can be made available for patients in need.

A primary limitation of NMP is that organs often undergo SCS before NMP is performed at the transplant center, owing to logistical issues. Notably, a portable NMP device was employed to avoid using SCS in a recently published RCT. The use of the portable NMP device also allowed the use of livers from DCD [33]. Another drawback of NMP is its high cost compared to HMP/HOPE or SCS; however, it should be noted that its cost-effectiveness was demonstrated by fewer post-transplant complications and increases in the donor pool. A summary of published studies on NMP LT is presented in Table 2.

Table 2 Overview of published studies on the use of NMP in liver transplantation.

Abbreviations: ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AST, aspartate transaminase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; CD, Clavien–Dindo; CI, confidence interval; CS, cold storage; DBD, donation after brain death; DCD, donation after circulatory death; EAD, early allograft dysfunction; ECD, extended criteria; HA, hepatic artery; HOPE, hypothermic oxygenated perfusion; IBC, ischemic biliary complications; ICS, ischemic cold storage; ICS, ischemic cold storage; ICU, intensive care unit; INR, international normalized ratio; IRI, ischemia reperfusion; IRI: Ischemia/reperfusion injury; IU, international unit; LFT, liver function test; MRCP, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography; NMP, normothermic machine perfusion; POD, postoperative day; pRBC: packed red blood cell; PRS, postreperfusion syndrome; pSCS-NMP: post-static cold storage normothermic machine perfusion; PV, portal vein; RCT, randomized controlled trial; SCS, static cold storage.

4. Normothermic Regional Perfusion (NRP)

NRP is used in potentially transplantable organs as a way of in situ perfusion after circulatory arrest [37, 38]. It has been used increasingly to reduce biliary complications and graft failure posttransplantation. Unlike other MP technologies(HOPE and NMP) that can be applied in DBD and DCD liver allograft management, NRP can only be used in DCD liver allograft management.

After circulatory arrest and a hands-off period that can range from 5 to 20 minutes depending on local regulations, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation is initiated with cannulation of the femoral artery or aorta and with venous return from the femoral vein or inferior vena cava [39]. It is also important to distinguish between abdominal normothermic regional perfusion (A-NRP) and thoracoabdominal normothermic regional perfusion (TA-NRP). A-NRP specifically targets the abdominal organs by providing in situ perfusion through the infrarenal aorta and IVC, or the femoral artery and vein, without initiating cardiac activity by applying supraceliac aortic crossclamp/occlusion balloon. In contrast, TA-NRP performs in situ perfusion of both thoracic and abdominal organs via the aortic arch and right atrium and includes the restoration of cardiac activity, with the aortic arch vessels clamped to prevent brain perfusion [40]. Initial studies show promising outcomes for DCD livers obtained through TA-NRP [41]. However, ethical concerns about restarting cardiac activity during the process have led to a temporary pause of TA-NRP in some organ procurement organizations, pending further ethical review [42]. Conversely, A-NRP faces fewer ethical concerns because it does not reinitiate cardiac activity, and perfusion is confined regionally to only the abdominal organ.

Though an RCT on NRP has not been published yet, retrospective data have demonstrated that NRP transplantation produces better results than SCS transplantation. Overall, preliminary data showed that NRP can enhance the results of DCD organ transplantation through the mitigation of early allograft dysfunction, enhancement of graft survival, reduction of biliary problems, and mitigation of retransplantation risk (Table 3). Owing to positive clinical outcomes, France and other European nations now require NRP for all DCD donations [39, 43].

Table 3 Overview of recent studies on normothermic regional perfusion.

Abbreviations: A-NRP, abdominal normothermic regional perfusion; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; cDCD, controlled donation after circulatory death; CI, confidence interval; CS, cold storage; DBD, donation after brain death; DCD, donation after circulatory death; EAD, early allograft dysfunction; ECD, extended criteria; fWIT, functional warm ischemia time; HOPE, hypothermic oxygenated perfusion; IC, ischemic cholangiopathy; LT: liver transplantation; NRP, normothermic regional perfusion; OPO, organ procurement organization; PNF, primary nonfunction; RBC, red blood cell; SCS, static cold storage; SRR, standard rapid recovery; TA-NRP, thoracoabdominal normothermic regional perfusion NMP, normothermic machine perfusion.

5. Combination of Machine Perfusion Techniques

The combination of HOPE with controlled oxygenated rewarming (COR) and NMP could leverage their benefits. This is because HOPE reduces ischemia–reperfusion injury, COR eases the transition from cold to warm states, minimizing organ damage, and NMP at 37°C allows for functional assessment while mitigating ischemic injury. De Vries et al. introduced a protocol in which D-HOPE, COR, and NMP were combined with a new hemoglobin-based oxygen carrier. Based on specific parameters (perfusate pH, lactate levels, bile production, and biliary pH), 5 of 7 livers that were previously declined were transplanted, and all showed a 100% graft survival rate at 3 months [54]. Van Leeuwen et al. studied 16 DCD livers subjected to a sequence of D-HOPE-COR-NMPs after SCS during transportation; consequently, 11 were deemed suitable for transplantation [55]. In a prospective observational cohort study conducted by the same group, the authors noted that ex situ machine perfusion employing sequential D-HOPE-NMP for the resuscitation and viability assessment of high-risk donor livers achieved excellent transplant outcomes [56].

Many centers in Italy have implemented in situ abdominal NRP followed by ex situ MP of DCD organs. Despite the significant hurdle presented by prolonged warm ischemia time, the Italian centers reported good outcomes of DCD live transplantation using this approach [57, 58].

Patrono et al. compared abdominal NMP plus D-HOPE in controlled DCD livers versus DBD livers and found similar early outcomes between groups. Biliary complication rates were 15% for DCD and 22% for DBD, with comparable ischemic cholangiopathy incidences (DCD, 5%; DBD, 2%). One-year patient survival was 100% for DCD and 95% for DBD, while graft survival rates were 90% and 95%, respectively. Furthermore, the combination of abdominal NRP and D-HOPE in DCD liver with a prolonged warm ischemia time resulted in outcomes comparable to those of DBD [59].

6. Novel Approaches

6.1 Ischemia-Free Liver Transplant

The complex nature of ischemia–reperfusion injury makes it challenging to advance new scientific concepts in its management. Various MP techniques, such as HMP, HOPE, and NMP, have been introduced to enhance organ preservation in clinical settings, with studies confirming their safety and effectiveness. However, these methods still involve a period of ischemia during the procurement and implantation of the allograft because they are implemented following a cold storage period. Ischemia-free liver transplantation is an innovative technique that maintains continuous blood supply under continuous in-situ NMP during the procurement and transplantation process, thus reducing post-reperfusion syndrome upon allograft revascularization [60]. In 2018, He et al. described an MP method that initiates blood flow in the donor liver before circulatory arrest. This technique involves the cannulation of the common bile duct, infrahepatic inferior vena cava, portal vein, and hepatic artery to establish an in-situ NMP circuit, while harvesting the liver. During transplantation, the liver was connected while still being perfused by the machine, ensuring continuous oxygenated blood flow and preventing ischemia and reperfusion injury [61]. An RCT showed that compared to traditional methods, this technique reduces complications from ischemiareperfusion injury in liver transplant recipients. This approach could advance transplantation

practices, improve outcomes, increase organ utilization, and offer insights into how organ injury affects alloimmunity [62].

6.2 Long-Term Normothermic Machine Perfusion (NMP)

Current MP technology allows for short-term ex-situ preservation and viability assessment of the liver before transplantation. The field of long-term normothermic perfusion is growing and offers significant prospects for evaluating, recovering, and improving organ function. Clavien et al. described a case wherein a human liver graft was successfully transplanted after being kept for 3 days by utilizing ex situ NMP [63]. After initial experiments with partial swine livers, Mueller et al. applied the protocol to 21 partial human livers and achieved a perfusion duration goal of 1 week. The liver sections demonstrated stable perfusion and normal function, while maintaining their structural integrity for up to 1 week [64]. Lau et al. focused on creating a long-term ex-situ perfusion model and achieved a median viability of 125 hours and a median survival of 165 hours during exsitu perfusion. This study demonstrated the feasibility of long-term ex-situ liver perfusion and perfusing livers using a standardized approach [65].

6.3 Extracorporeal Liver Perfusion (ECLP)

Beyond its application in liver transplantation, MP potentially can assist liver metabolism for patients with liver failure. A circuit that circulates the patient's blood through an entire liver—which could be human or animal—is used in ECLP [66-68]. An MP circuit with a healthy liver connected to the patient's circulation would make this feasible. In situations where spontaneous recovery might occur, for example in those with acetaminophen toxicity, treatment utilizing daily ECLP via an isolated genetically modified pig liver could offer a vital support. This approach would eliminate the need for extensive immunosuppressive treatments or a liver transplant. Preclinical investigations shown ECLP using pig livers can potentially preserve injured human livers for 1 week [69]. Although the numbers were small, using ECLP with pig livers in ALF patients showed a survival benefit [70-72]. Research indicates this method is safe and practical for bridging patients to liver transplantation [73].

7. Current Challenges

The growing interest in MP owing to its beneficial effects on organ quality and recipient outcomes has not yet been translated into the clinical practice of liver transplantation. The factors determining the wider adoption of specific technologies in clinical practice remain unclear. For example, the benefits of MP for low-risk liver grafts, which already yield favorable short and longterm outcomes with SCS, are not well-studied [74]. It is unsure at what threshold of risk should MP be involved. Even though there are many RCTs already published or ongoing, more information about allograft function, liver use rate, recipients' outcomes, and cost-effectiveness should be evaluated for clinical applications and impact. Furthermore, it should be mentioned that most currently available evidence is restricted to 1-year follow-up, which seems to be one of the primary drawbacks in the current literature [75]. Consensus and RCTs are critical for validating aspects of MP, such as infusion pressure, solution components, perfusion duration, and biological indicators for organ quality assessment.

Criticism has been raised that the aspartate transaminase/alanine aminotransferase level is inconsistent with transplant outcomes, especially in DCD liver grafts [76]. Hospital and intensive care unit stay durations may differ significantly between centers owing to varying discharge policies and facility availability, potentially weakening their reliability as endpoints [75, 77]. Therefore, clinically relevant endpoints and future trial guidelines need to be established [78].

To fully comprehend how MP influences the utilization of donor livers and identify areas for improvement, it is vital to understand the reasons behind liver discard [79]. Establishing clear criteria for donor, liver, and recipient risk factors are necessary for routine applications of MP. Creating simpler device registration policies and innovative financial models is essential to facilitate the adoption of MP globally. Moreover, the significant variations in the costs and affordability of new technologies will undoubtedly impact their broader use [79, 80].

8. Future Applications

Enhancing liver preservation, organ usage, functional assessment, and outcomes are areas where MP has shown promise. Future perspectives in MP include ex vivo liver repair and potential personalized organ preservation [81]. Recondition steatotic grafts is a promising application of MP in liver transplantation, given the impact of steatosis on graft viability [82]. Nagrath et al. conducted a preclinical study using a "defatting cocktail" on steatotic rat livers during NMP, which significantly lowered intracellular lipid levels by 50% after 3 hours [83]. MP could also serve as an effective method for removing viral infections from donated livers, including hepatitis C virus infections [84]. Furthermore, with long-term MP, previously wasted livers could be treated with stem cells, organoids, senolytics, or compounds that target the mitochondria and downstream signaling to modulate repair mechanisms and regeneration [85, 86].

9. Conclusion

In this review, we summarize findings from clinical trials on MP techniques, explore emerging MP approaches, address obstacles to standardizing MP protocols, and highlight the critical role of clinical trials in validating various aspects of the perfusion process. Furthermore, we discuss the potential of cutting-edge MP techniques in preserving and enhancing graft quality.

Abbreviations

- HOPE hypothermic oxygenated perfusion
- LT liver transplantation
- MEAF model for early allograft function
- NMP normothermic machine perfusion
- RCT randomized controlled trial
- SCS static cold storage

Author Contributions

Yue Qiu MD: writing – original draft. Yinqian Kang MD: review and editing. Hao Liu MD: review and editing. Ibtesam Hilmi, MBCHB, FRCA: Conceptualization, review, and editing. All authors have read and approved the published version of the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- 1. Meirelles Júnior RF, Salvalaggio P, Rezende MB, Evangelista AS, Guardia BD, Matielo CE, et al. Liver transplantation: History, outcomes and perspectives. Einstein. 2015; 13: 149-152.
- 2. Malkawi D, Savsani K, Alfonso A, Lee SD, James N, Sarkar D, et al. The role of normothermic machine perfusion in extended criteria donor grafts: A new direction in liver graft assessment and preservation. Livers. 2023; 3: 709-726.
- 3. Czigany Z, Lurje I, Schmelzle M, Schöning W, Öllinger R, Raschzok N, et al. Ischemia-reperfusion injury in marginal liver grafts and the role of hypothermic machine perfusion: Molecular mechanisms and clinical implications. J Clin Med. 2020; 9: 846.
- 4. McAnulty JF. Hypothermic organ preservation by static storage methods: Current status and a view to the future. Cryobiology. 2010; 60: S13-S19.
- 5. Manara AR, Murphy PG, O'Callaghan G. Donation after circulatory death. Br J Anaesth. 2012; 108: i108-i121.
- 6. Jing L, Yao L, Zhao M, Peng LP, Liu M. Organ preservation: From the past to the future. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2018; 39: 845-857.
- 7. Ozgur OS, Namsrai BE, Pruett TL, Bischof JC, Toner M, Finger EB, et al. Current practice and novel approaches in organ preservation. Front Transplant. 2023; 2: 1156845.
- 8. Monbaliu D, Brassil J. Machine perfusion of the liver: Past, present and future. Curr Opin Organ Transplant. 2010; 15: 160-166.
- 9. Guarrera JV, Henry SD, Samstein B, Odeh-Ramadan R, Kinkhabwala M, Goldstein MJ, et al. Hypothermic machine preservation in human liver transplantation: The first clinical series. Am J Transplant. 2010; 10: 372-381.
- 10. Dutkowski P, Schlegel A, de Oliveira M, Müllhaupt B, Neff F, Clavien PA. HOPE for human liver grafts obtained from donors after cardiac death. J Hepatol. 2014; 60: 765-772.
- 11. Dutkowski P, Polak WG, Muiesan P, Schlegel A, Verhoeven CJ, Scalera I, et al. First comparison of hypothermic oxygenated PErfusion versus static cold storage of human donation after cardiac death liver transplants: An international-matched case analysis. Ann Surg. 2015; 262: 764-771.
- 12. Czigany Z, Pratschke J, Froněk J, Guba M, Schöning W, Raptis DA, et al. Hypothermic oxygenated machine perfusion reduces early allograft injury and improves post-transplant outcomes in extended criteria donation liver transplantation from donation after brain death: Results from a multicenter randomized controlled trial (HOPE ECD-DBD). Ann Surg. 2021; 274: 705-712.
- 13. Grąt M, Morawski M, Zhylko A, Rykowski P, Krasnodębski M, Wyporski A, et al. Routine endischemic hypothermic oxygenated machine perfusion in liver transplantation from donors after brain death: A randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg. 2023; 278: 662-668.
- 14. Czigany Z, Uluk D, Pavicevic S, Lurje I, Froněk J, Keller T, et al. Improved outcomes after hypothermic oxygenated machine perfusion in liver transplantation-Long-term follow-up of a multicenter randomized controlled trial. Hepatol Commun. 2024; 8: e0376.
- 15. van Rijn R, Schurink IJ, de Vries Y, van den Berg AP, Cortes Cerisuelo M, Darwish Murad S, et al. Hypothermic machine perfusion in liver transplantation-A randomized trial. N Engl J Med. 2021; 384: 1391-1401.
- 16. Ravaioli M, Germinario G, Dajti G, Sessa M, Vasuri F, Siniscalchi A, et al. Hypothermic oxygenated perfusion in extended criteria donor liver transplantation-A randomized clinical trial. Am J Transplant. 2022; 22: 2401-2408.
- 17. Schlegel A, Mueller M, Muller X, Eden J, Panconesi R, von Felten S, et al. A multicenter randomized-controlled trial of hypothermic oxygenated perfusion (HOPE) for human liver grafts before transplantation. J Hepatol. 2023; 78: 783-793.
- 18. Panayotova GG, Lunsford KE, Quillin III RC, Rana A, Agopian VG, Lee-Riddle GS, et al. Portable hypothermic oxygenated machine perfusion for organ preservation in liver transplantation: A randomized, open-label, clinical trial. Hepatology. 2024; 79: 1033-1047.
- 19. Ceresa CD, Nasralla D, Jassem W. Normothermic machine preservation of the liver: State of the art. Curr Transplant Rep. 2018; 5: 104-110.
- 20. Shen C, Cheng H, Zong T, Zhu H. The role of normothermic machine perfusion (NMP) in the preservation of ex-vivo liver before transplantation: A review. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2023; 11: 1072937.
- 21. Lindbergh CA. An apparatus for the culture of whole organs. J Exp Med. 1935; 62: 409-431.
- 22. Ravikumar R, Jassem W, Mergental H, Heaton N, Mirza D, Perera MT, et al. Liver transplantation after ex vivo normothermic machine preservation: A Phase 1 (first-in-man) clinical trial. Am J Transplant. 2016; 16: 1779-1787.
- 23. Nasralla D, Coussios CC, Mergental H, Akhtar MZ, Butler AJ, Ceresa CD, et al. A randomized trial of normothermic preservation in liver transplantation. Nature. 2018; 557: 50-56.
- 24. Ceresa CD, Nasralla D, Watson CJ, Butler AJ, Coussios CC, Crick K, et al. Transient cold storage prior to normothermic liver perfusion may facilitate adoption of a novel technology. Liver Transplant. 2019; 25: 1503-1513.
- 25. Ghinolfi D, Rreka E, De Tata V, Franzini M, Pezzati D, Fierabracci V, et al. Pilot, Open, randomized, prospective trial for normothermic machine perfusion evaluation in liver transplantation from older donors. Liver Transplant. 2019; 25: 436-449.
- 26. Bral M, Gala-Lopez B, Bigam D, Kneteman N, Malcolm A, Livingstone S, et al. Preliminary singlecenter Canadian experience of human normothermic ex vivo liver perfusion: Results of a clinical trial. Am J Transplant. 2017; 17: 1071-1080.
- 27. Li J, Lu H, Zhang J, Li Y, Zhao Q. Comprehensive approach to assessment of liver viability during normothermic machine perfusion. J Clin Transl Hepatol. 2023; 11: 466-479.
- 28. Mergental H, Laing RW, Kirkham AJ, Perera MT, Boteon YL, Attard J, et al. Transplantation of discarded liversfollowing viability testing with normothermic machine perfusion. Nat Commun. 2020; 11: 2939.
- 29. Mergental H, Perera MT, Laing RW, Muiesan P, Isaac JR, Smith A, et al. Transplantation of declined liver allografts following normothermic ex-situ evaluation. Am J Transplant. 2016; 16: 3235-3245.
- 30. Mergental H, Laing RW, Kirkham AJ, Clarke G, Boteon YL, Barton D, et al. Discarded livers tested by normothermic machine perfusion in the VITTAL trial: Secondary end points and 5-year outcomes. Liver Transplant. 2024; 30: 30-45.
- 31. Quintini C, Del Prete L, Simioni A, Del Angel L, Diago Uso T, D'Amico G, et al. Transplantation of declined livers after normothermic perfusion. Surgery. 2022; 171: 747-756.
- 32. Watson CJ, Kosmoliaptsis V, Pley C, Randle L, Fear C, Crick K, et al. Observations on the ex situ perfusion of livers for transplantation. Am J Transplant. 2018; 18: 2005-2020.
- 33. Markmann JF, Abouljoud MS, Ghobrial RM, Bhati CS, Pelletier SJ, Lu AD, et al. Impact of portable normothermic blood-based machine perfusion on outcomes of liver transplant: The OCS liver PROTECT randomized clinical trial. JAMA Surg. 2022; 157: 189-198.
- 34. Selzner M, Goldaracena N, Echeverri J, Kaths JM, Linares I, Selzner N, et al. Normothermic ex vivo liver perfusion using Steen solution as perfusate for human liver transplantation: First North American results. Liver Transplant. 2016; 22: 1501-1508.
- 35. Jassem W, Xystrakis E, Ghnewa YG, Yuksel M, Pop O, Martinez-Llordella M, et al. Normothermic machine perfusion (NMP) inhibits proinflammatory responses in the liver and promotes regeneration. Hepatology. 2019; 70: 682-695.
- 36. Chapman WC, Barbas AS, D'Alessandro AM, Vianna R, Kubal CA, Abt P, et al. Normothermic machine perfusion of donor livers for transplantation in the United States: A randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg. 2023; 278: e912-e921.
- 37. Hessheimer AJ, de la Rosa G, Gastaca M, Ruíz P, Otero A, Gómez M, et al. Abdominal normothermic regional perfusion in controlled donation after circulatory determination of death liver transplantation: Outcomes and risk factors for graft loss. Am J Transplant. 2022; 22: 1169-1181.
- 38. Brubaker AL, Sellers MT, Abt PL, Croome KP, Merani S, Wall A, et al. US liver transplant outcomes after normothermic regional perfusion vs standard super rapid recovery. JAMA Surg. 2024; 159: 677-685.
- 39. Eden J, Sousa Da Silva R, Cortes-Cerisuelo M, Croome K, De Carlis R, Hessheimer AJ, et al. Utilization of livers donated after circulatory death for transplantation-An international comparison. J Hepatol. 2023; 78: 1007-1016.
- 40. Croome KP, Brown TE, Mabrey RL, Sonnenwald SL, Burns JM, Mao SA, et al. Development of a portable abdominal normothermic regional perfusion (A-NRP) program in the United States. Liver Transplant. 2023; 29: 1282-1291.
- 41. Croome KP, Mao S, Taner CB. The current landscape of liver transplantation after ex situ machine perfusion and normothermic regional perfusion in the United States. Liver Transplant. 2022; 28: 1108-1112.
- 42. Entwistle JW, Drake DH, Fenton KN, Smith MA, Sade RM, Backhus L, et al. Normothermic regional perfusion: Ethical issues in thoracic organ donation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2022; 164: 147-154.
- 43. Antoine C, Jasseron C, Dondero F, Savier E, French National Steering Committee of Donors After Circulatory Death. Liver transplantation from controlled donors after circulatory death using normothermic regional perfusion: An initial French experience. Liver Transplant. 2020; 26: 1516-1521.
- 44. Hessheimer AJ, Coll E, Torres F, Ruíz P, Gastaca M, Rivas JI, et al. Normothermic regional perfusion vs. super-rapid recovery in controlled donation after circulatory death liver transplantation. J Hepatol. 2019; 70: 658-665.
- 45. Watson CJ, Hunt F, Messer S, Currie I, Large S, Sutherland A, et al. In situ normothermic perfusion of livers in controlled circulatory death donation may prevent ischemic cholangiopathy and improve graft survival. Am J Transplant. 2019; 19: 1745-1758.
- 46. Gaurav R, Butler AJ, Kosmoliaptsis V, Mumford L, Fear C, Swift L, et al. Liver Transplantation Outcomes from Controlled Circulatory Death Donors: SCS vs in situ NRP vs ex situ NMP. Ann Surg. 2022; 275: 1156-1164.
- 47. Rodriguez RP, Perez BS, Daga JA, Diaz FJ, Aguilar JL, Muñoz MA, et al. Outcome of liver transplants using donors after cardiac death with normothermic regional perfusion. Transplant Proc. 2022; 54: 37-40.
- 48. Barbier L, Guillem T, Savier E, Scatton O, Dondero F, Si Larbi AG, et al. Impact of the duration of normothermic regional perfusion on the results of liver transplant from controlled circulatory death donors: A retrospective, multicentric study. Clin Transplant. 2022; 36: e14536.
- 49. Savier E, Lim C, Rayar M, Orlando F, Boudjema K, Mohkam K, et al. Favorable outcomes of liver transplantation from controlled circulatory death donors using normothermic regional perfusion compared to brain death donors. Transplantation. 2020; 104: 1943-1951.
- 50. Ruiz P, Valdivieso A, Palomares I, Prieto M, Ventoso A, Salvador P, et al. Similar results in liver transplantation from controlled donation after circulatory death donors with normothermic regional perfusion and donation after brain death donors: A case-matched single-center study. Liver Transplant. 2021; 27: 1747-1757.
- 51. Viguera L, Blasi A, Reverter E, Arjona B, Caballero M, Chocron I, et al. Liver transplant with controlled donors after circulatory death with normothermic regional perfusion and brain dead donors: A multicenter cohort study of transfusion, one-year graft survival and mortality. Int J Surg. 2021; 96: 106169.
- 52. Mohkam K, Nasralla D, Mergental H, Muller X, Butler A, Jassem W, et al. In situ normothermic regional perfusion versus ex situ normothermic machine perfusion in liver transplantation from donation after circulatory death. Liver Transplant. 2022; 28: 1716-1725.
- 53. Muller X, Mohkam K, Mueller M, Schlegel A, Dondero F, Sepulveda A, et al. Hypothermic oxygenated perfusion versus normothermic regional perfusion in liver transplantation from controlled donation after circulatory death: First international comparative study. Ann Surg. 2020; 272: 751-758.
- 54. de Vries Y, Matton AP, Nijsten MW, Werner MJ, van den Berg AP, de Boer MT, et al. Pretransplant sequential hypo- and normothermic machine perfusion of suboptimal livers donated after circulatory death using a hemoglobin-based oxygen carrier perfusion solution. Am J Transplant. 2019; 19: 1202-1211.
- 55. van Leeuwen OB, de Vries Y, Fujiyoshi M, Nijsten MW, Ubbink R, Pelgrim GJ, et al. Transplantation of high-risk donor livers after ex situ resuscitation and assessment using

combined hypo- and normothermic machine perfusion: A prospective clinical trial. Ann Surg. 2019; 270: 906-914.

- 56. van Leeuwen OB, Bodewes SB, Lantinga VA, Haring MP, Thorne AM, Brüggenwirth IM, et al. Sequential hypothermic and normothermic machine perfusion enables safe transplantation of high-risk donor livers. Am J Transplant. 2022; 22: 1658-1670.
- 57. De Carlis R, Schlegel A, Frassoni S, Olivieri T, Ravaioli M, Camagni S, et al. How to preserve liver grafts from circulatory death with long warm ischemia? A retrospective Italian cohort study with normothermic regional perfusion and hypothermic oxygenated perfusion. Transplantation. 2021; 105: 2385-2396.
- 58. Ghinolfi D, Dondossola D, Rreka E, Lonati C, Pezzati D, Cacciatoinsilla A, et al. Sequential use of normothermic regional and ex situ machine perfusion in donation after circulatory death liver transplant. Liver Transplant. 2021; 27: 385-402.
- 59. Patrono D, Zanierato M, Vergano M, Magaton C, Diale E, Rizza G, et al. Normothermic regional perfusion and hypothermic oxygenated machine perfusion for livers donated after controlled circulatory death with prolonged warm ischemia time: A matched comparison with livers from brain-dead donors. Transpl Int. 2022; 35: 10390.
- 60. Guo Z, Zhao Q, Huang S, Huang C, Wang D, Yang L, et al. Ischaemia-free liver transplantation in humans: A first-in-human trial. Lancet Reg Health West Pac. 2021; 16: 100260.
- 61. He X, Guo Z, Zhao Q, Ju W, Wang D, Wu L, et al. The first case of ischemia-free organ transplantation in humans: A proof of concept. Am J Transplant. 2018; 18: 737-744.
- 62. Guo Z, Zhao Q, Jia Z, Huang C, Wang D, Ju W, et al. A randomized-controlled trial of ischemiafree liver transplantation for end-stage liver disease. J Hepatol. 2023; 79: 394-402.
- 63. Clavien PA, Dutkowski P, Mueller M, Eshmuminov D, Bautista Borrego L, Weber A, et al. Transplantation of a human liver following 3 days of ex situ normothermic preservation. Nat Biotechnol. 2022; 40: 1610-1616.
- 64. Mueller M, Hefti M, Eshmuminov D, Schuler MJ, Sousa Da Silva RX, Petrowsky H, et al. Longterm normothermic machine preservation of partial livers: First experience with 21 human hemi-livers. Ann Surg. 2021; 274: 836-842.
- 65. Lau NS, Ly M, Dennis C, Jacques A, Cabanes-Creus M, Toomath S, et al. Long-term ex situ normothermic perfusion of human split livers for more than 1 week. Nat Commun. 2023; 14: 4755.
- 66. Lamm V, Ekser B, Vagefi PA, Cooper DK. Bridging to allotransplantation-is pig liver xenotransplantation the best option? Transplantation. 2022; 106: 26-36.
- 67. Wu WK, Tumen A, Stokes JW, Ukita R, Hozain A, Pinezich M, et al. Cross-circulation for extracorporeal liver support in a swine model. ASAIO J. 2022; 68: 561-570.
- 68. Wu WK, Ukita R, Patel YJ, Cortelli M, Trinh VQ, Ziogas IA, et al. Xenogeneic cross-circulation for physiological support and recovery of ex vivo human livers. Hepatology. 2023; 78: 820-834.
- 69. Eshmuminov D, Becker D, Bautista Borrego L, Hefti M, Schuler MJ, Hagedorn C, et al. An integrated perfusion machine preserves injured human livers for 1 week. Nat Biotechnol. 2020; 38: 189-198.
- 70. Horslen SP, Hammel JM, Fristoe LW, Kangas JA, Collier DS, Sudan DL, et al. Extracorporeal liver perfusion using human and pig livers for acute liver failure. Transplantation. 2000; 70: 1472- 1478.
- 71. Chari RS, Collins BH, Magee JC, DiMaio JM, Kirk AD, Harland RC, et al. Brief report: Treatment of hepatic failure with ex vivo pig-liver perfusion followed by liver transplantation. N Engl J Med. 1994; 331: 234-237.
- 72. Cooper DK, Wijkstrom M, Hariharan S, Chan JL, Singh A, Horvath K, et al. Selection of patients for initial clinical trials of solid organ xenotransplantation. Transplantation. 2017; 101: 1551- 1558.
- 73. Levy MF, Crippin J, Sutton S, Netto G, McCormack J, Curiel T, et al. Liver allotransplantation after extracorporeal hepatic support with transgenic (hCD55/hCD59) porcine livers: Clinical results and lack of pig-to-human transmission of the porcine endogenous retrovirus. Transplantation. 2000; 69: 272-280.
- 74. DeOliveira ML, Jassem W, Valente R, Khorsandi SE, Santori G, Prachalias A, et al. Biliary complications after liver transplantation using grafts from donors after cardiac death: Results from a matched control study in a single large volume center. Ann Surg. 2011; 254: 716-723.
- 75. Parente A, Sun K, Dutkowski P, Shapiro AJ, Schlegel A. Routine utilization of machine perfusion in liver transplantation: Ready for prime time? World J Gastroenterol. 2024; 30: 1488-1493.
- 76. Rosen HR, Martin P, Goss J, Donovan J, Melinek J, Rudich S, et al. Significance of early aminotransferase elevation after liver transplantation. Transplantation. 1998; 65: 68-72.
- 77. Wehrle CJ, Jiao C, Sun K, Zhang M, Fairchild RL, Miller C, et al. Machine perfusion in liver transplantation: Recent advances and coming challenges. Curr Opin Organ Transplant. 2024; 29: 228-238.
- 78. Flores Carvalho M, Boteon YL, Guarrera JV, Modi PR, Lladó L, Lurje G, et al. Obstacles to implement machine perfusion technology in routine clinical practice of transplantation: Why are we not there yet? Hepatology. 2024; 79: 713-730.
- 79. De Goeij FH, De Meijer V, Mergental H, Guarrera JV, Asthana S, Ghinolfi D, et al. Challenges with the implementation of machine perfusion in clinical livertransplantation. Transplantation. 2024; 108: 1296-1307.
- 80. Croome KP. Introducing machine perfusion into routine clinical practice for liver transplantation in the United States: The moment has finally come. J Clin Med. 2023; 12: 909.
- 81. Lascaris B, de Meijer VE, Porte RJ. Normothermic liver machine perfusion as a dynamic platform for regenerative purposes: What does the future have in store for us? J Hepatol. 2022; 77: 825- 836.
- 82. Boteon YL, Boteon AP, Attard J, Mergental H, Mirza DF, Bhogal RH, et al. Ex situ machine perfusion as a tool to recondition steatotic donor livers: Troublesome features of fatty livers and the role of defatting therapies. A systematic review. Am J Transplant. 2018; 18: 2384-2399.
- 83. Nagrath D, Xu H, Tanimura Y, Zuo R, Berthiaume F, Avila M, et al. Metabolic preconditioning of donor organs: Defatting fatty livers by normothermic perfusion ex vivo. Metab Eng. 2009; 11: 274-283.
- 84. Goldaracena N, Spetzler VN, Echeverri J, Kaths JM, Cherepanov V, Persson R, et al. Inducing hepatitis C virus resistance after pig liver transplantation-A proof of concept of liver graft modification using warm ex vivo perfusion. Am J Transplant. 2017; 17: 970-978.
- 85. Schlegel A, Mergental H, Fondevila C, Porte RJ, Friend PJ, Dutkowski P. Machine perfusion of the liver and bioengineering. J Hepatol. 2023; 78: 1181-1198.

OBM Transplantation 2024; 8(3), doi:10.21926/obm.transplant.2403224

86. Luijmes SH, Verstegen MM, Hoogduijn MJ, Seghers L, Minnee RC, Mahtab EA, et al. The current status of stem cell-based therapies during ex vivo graft perfusion: An integrated review of four organs. Am J Transplant. 2022; 22: 2723-2739.